Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

trans-Bis(1-cyclohexylpyrrolidin-2-one)dinitratopalladium(II)

Yuya Takahashi and Yasuhisa Ikeda*

Research Laboratory for Nuclear Reactors, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1-N1-34 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8550, Japan Correspondence e-mail: yikeda@nr.titech.ac.jp

Received 26 October 2009; accepted 2 November 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 173 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.020; wR factor = 0.052; data-to-parameter ratio = 17.7.

In the title compound, $[Pd(NO_3)_2(C_{10}H_{17}NO)_2]$, the Pd^{II} centre is located on an inversion center and is coordinated in a square-planar geometry by two O atoms of the monodentate nitrate groups and two carbonyl O atoms of the 1-cyclohexyl-pyrrolidin-2-one ligands.

Related literature

For general background to ambidentate ligands, see: Fairlie & Taube (1985); Rack *et al.* (2003); Sigel & Martin (1982). For amide complexes of metal ions, see: Anget *et al.* (1990); Curtis *et al.* (1983). Pankratov *et al.* (2004); Wayland & Schramm (1969); Rheingold & Staley (1988). For the structures of ambidentate ligand complexes of Pd^{II}, see: Johnson *et al.* (1981); Johansson *et al.* (2001); Langs *et al.* (1967). For the structures of nitrate complexes of Pd^{II}, see: Bennett *et al.* (1967); Adrian *et al.* (2006); Rath *et al.* (1999); Bray *et al.* (2005); Cerdà *et al.* (2006); Gromilov *et al.* (2008); Khranenko *et al.* (2007); Laligant *et al.* (1991). For a discussion on the relationship between bond lengths and ligand donicities, see: Gutmann (1967, 1968); Koshino *et al.* (2005).



Experimental

Crystal data

erystat aata	
$[Pd(NO_3)_2(C_{10}H_{17}NO)_2]$ $M_r = 564.91$ Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ a = 7.6431 (5) Å b = 9.8892 (8) Å c = 10.1118 (7) Å $\alpha = 60.8650$ (19)° $\beta = 66.057$ (2)°	$\gamma = 68.845 (2)^{\circ}$ $V = 597.24 (7) \text{ Å}^{3}$ Z = 1 Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 0.83 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 173 K $0.78 \times 0.41 \times 0.07 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID diffractometer Absorption correction: numerical (ABSCOR; Higashi, 1999) $T_{min} = 0.754, T_{max} = 0.943$	5836 measured reflections 2696 independent reflections 2662 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.021$
Refinement	
$R[F^{2} > 2\sigma(F^{2})] = 0.020$ wR(F^{2}) = 0.052 S = 1.07 2696 reflections	152 parameters H-atom parameters constrained $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.32 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.93 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Table 1

Pd(1) - O(1)	2.0092 (11)	Pd(1) - O(2)	2.0112 (15)

Data collection: *PROCESS-AUTO* (Rigaku, 1998); cell refinement: *PROCESS-AUTO*; data reduction: *CrystalStructure* (Rigaku/ MSC, 2006); program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR92* (Altomare *et al.*, 1994) and *DIRDIF99* (Beurskens *et al.*, 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *CrystalStructure*.

The authors would like to thank Takeshi Kawasaki for his useful comments.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BR2124).

References

- Adrian, R. A., Zhu, S., Daniels, L. M., Tiekink, E. R. T. & Walmsley, J. A. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, m1422-m1424.
- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camalli, M. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435–435.
- Anget, R. L., Fairlie, D. P. & Jackson, W. G. (1990). Inorg. Chem. 29, 20-28.
- Bennett, M. J., Cotton, F. A., Weaver, D. L., Williams, R. J. & Watson, W. H. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 788–796.
- Beurskens, P. T., Admiraal, G., Burskens, G., Bosman, W. P., de Gelder, R., Isreal, R. & Smits, J. M. M. (1999). *The DIRDIF99 Program System*. Technical Report of the Crystallography Laboratory, Universityu of Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- Bray, D. J., Clegg, J. K., Liao, L.-L., Lindoy, L. F., McMurtrie, J. C., Schilter, D., Wei, G. & Won, T.-J. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, m1940–m1942.
- Cerdà, M. M., Costisella, B. & Lippert, B. (2006). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 359, 1485-1488.
- Curtis, N. J., Lawrence, G. A. & Sargeson, A. M. (1983). Aust. J. Chem. 36, 1495–1501.

Fairlie, D. P. & Taube, H. (1985). Inorg. Chem. 24, 3199-3206.

Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.

Gromilov, S. A., Khranenko, S. P., Baidina, I. A., Virovets, A. V. & Peresypkina, E. V. (2008). J. Struct. Chem. 49, 160–164.

metal-organic compounds

- Gutmann, V. (1967). Coord. Chem. Rev. 2, 239-256.
- Gutmann, V. (1968). Coordination Chemistry in Non-Aqueous Solutions, p. 19. Vienna, New York: Springer.
- Higashi, T. (1999). ABSCOR. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Johansson, M. H. & Oskarsson, A. (2001). Acta Cryst. C57, 1265-1267.
- Johnson, B. F. G., Puga, J. & Raithby, P. R. (1981). Acta Cryst. B37, 953-956.
- Khranenko, S. P., Baidina, I. A. & Gromilov, S. A. (2007). J. Struct. Chem. 48, 1152–1155.
- Koshino, N., Harada, M., Nogami, M., Morita, Y., Kikuchi, T. & Ikeda, Y. (2005). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 358, 1857–1864.
- Laligant, Y., Ferey, G. & Le Bail, A. (1991). Mater. Res. Bull. 26, 269-275.
- Langs, D. A., Hare, C. R. & Little, R. G. (1967). Chem. Commun. pp. 1080– 1081.

- Pankratov, A. N., Borodulin, V. B. & Chaplygina, O. A. (2004). J. Coord. Chem. 57, 665–675.
- Rack, J. J., Rachford, A. A. & Shelker, A. M. (2003). *Inorg. Chem.* 42, 7357–7359.
- Rath, N. P., Stockland, R. A. & Anderson, G. K. (1999). Acta Cryst. C55, 494–496.
- Rheingold, A. L. & Staley, D. L. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 572-574.
- Rigaku (1998). PROCESS-AUTO. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Rigaku/MSC (2006). CrystalStructure. Rigaku/MSC, The Woodlands, Texas, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sigel, H. & Martin, R. B. (1982). Chem. Rev. 82, 385-420.
- Wayland, B. B. & Schramm, R. F. (1969). Inorg. Chem. 8, 971-976.

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, m1533-m1534 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809045930]

trans-Bis(1-cyclohexylpyrrolidin-2-one)dinitratopalladium(II)

Y. Takahashi and Y. Ikeda

Comment

Ambidentate ligands are known as ligands with two different coordination cites, such as thiocyanate ion (N and S), cyanate ion (N and O), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, O and S), and N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF, N and O) (Fairlie et al., 1985; Rack et al., 2003; Sigel et al., 1982). For amide complexes of metal ions classified as hard Lewis acids, such as $[M(NH_3)_5(amide)]^{3+}$ (M = Co, Cr) (Anget et al., 1990; Curtis et al., 1983), the O-bonded form is thermodynamically and kinetically more favored than the N-bonded form. On the other hand, Pd^{II} classified as a soft Lewis acid usually exhibits a weak affinity to O-donor ligands. Hence, amide compounds should coordinate to Pd^{II} through a nitrogen atom more preferably. In fact, it has been known that the Pd^{II} complex with 2-pyrrolidone is N-bonded form, *i.e.*, *cis*-PdCl₂(pyrroline-2-ol)₂ (Pankratov *et al.*, 2004). However, Pd^{II} complexes with O-bonded amides have been also reported. e.g., $PdCl_2(L)_2$, $Pd(L)_4$.(ClO_4)₂ (L = DMF, N, N-dimethylacetamide, N-methyl-acetamide, and N-methylformamide) (Wavland et al., 1969), and Pd(DMF)₂(o-(N-methylliminomethyl)phenyl).BF₄ (Rheingold et al., 1988). In a similar manner to amides, Pd^{II} complexes with S- and O-bonded DMSO have been reported, such as trans-PdCl₂(DMSO)₂ with two S-bonded DMSO, and Pd(DMSO)₄(BF₄)₂.DMSO with two S- and O-bonded DMSO and a solvated DMSO (Johansson et al., 1981; Johansson et al., 2001; Langs et al., 1967). In Pd^{II} nitrate complexes, some crystal structures with S-, P-, N-, or O-donor ligand have been reported, e.g., cis-Pd(NO₃)₂(DMSO)₂, (Bennett et al., 1967) Pd(NO₃)₂(dppm).3CDCl₃ (dppm = bis(diphenylphosphino)methane), (Adrian et al., 2006; Rath et al., 1999) enPd(NO₃)₂ (en = ethylenediamine), (Bray et al.,2005; Cerdà et al., 2006) and trans-Pd(NO₃)₂(H₂O)₂ (Gromilov et al., 2008; Khranenko et al., 2007; Laligant et al., 1991). In all of these complexes, nitrate coordinates to Pd^{II} as the oxygen donor unidentate ligand. So far as we know, *trans*- $Pd(NO_3)_2(L)_2$ (L: oxygen donor unidentate ligand) is only trans- $Pd(NO_3)_2(H_2O)_2$ and $Pd(NO_3)_2(O-bonded amide)_2$ has not been reported. We prepared Pd^{II} nitrate complex with the O-bonded amide, *trans*-Pd(NO₃)₂(NCP)₂ (NCP = *N*-cycrohexyl-2-pyrrolidone), and analyzed its crystal structure using the single-crystal X-ray analytical method. An ORTEP view of trans-Pd(NO₃)₂(NCP)₂ is shown in Fig. 1. In this complex, the configuration around Pd atom is square planar. The nitrate and NCP coordinate to Pd^{II} through their oxygen atoms. The cyclohexyl group of NCP is torsional to pyrrolidone ring. Fig. 2 shows the configuration of coordinated nitrate in trans-Pd(NO₃)₂(NCP)₂. From this figure, it is found that the nitrate is planar with O—N—O angles close to 120° , and that the distance of Pd···O(3) is longer than Pd···O(2), and almost same as that of trans-Pd(NO₃)₂(H₂O)₂ (2.926 Å; Khranenko et al. (2007). This reflects the fact that in the trans-Pd(NO₃)₂(NCP)₂ complex nitrate coordinates to Pd^{II} as the unidentate ligand. As mentioned above, the skeletal structure of *trans*-Pd(NO₃)₂(NCP)₂ is almost same as that of trans-Pd(NO₃)₂(H₂O)₂. However, the Pd—O(NO₃) distance in trans-Pd(NO₃)₂(NCP)₂ is slightly longer than that in trans-Pd(NO₃)₂(H₂O)₂ (1.999 (5) Å) (Khranenko et al.(2007)), and the Pd—O(NCP) distance is 0.02 Å shorter than the Pd—O(water) distance (2.030 (5) Å). The differences in Pd—O(L) ($L = H_2O$ or NCP) distances are considered to be due to those in electron donicity of L, that is, the donor number (28.6) of NCP is larger than that (18.0) of water (Gutmann, 1967, Gutmann, 1968, Koshino et al., 2005). Thus, the NCP molecules should more strongly coordinate

to the Pd(NO₃)₂ moiety than water. This may result in a slightly longer distance of Pd—O(NO₃) in *trans*-Pd(NO₃)₂(NCP)₂ than in trans-Pd(NO₃)₂(H₂O)₂. Infrared spectrum of trans-Pd(NO₃)₂(NCP)₂ in the solid state was measured as a CaF₂ pellet by Shimadzu FT—IR-8400S spectrophotometer. The carbonyl stretching band of NCP was observed at 1593 cm⁻¹, which is lower frequency than that (1670 cm⁻¹) of free NCP. The lower shift value ($\Delta v = 77$ cm⁻¹) is comparable to those (68–107 cm⁻¹) for other Pd^{II} amide complexes(Wayland et al., 1969). This supports the result of single-crystal analysis that NCP coordinates to the Pd(NO₃)₂ moiety through carbonyl oxygen atom. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of solution prepared by dissolving trans-Pd(NO₃)₂(NCP)₂ and (CH₃)₄Si into CDCl₃ were also measured using Jeol ECX-400 NMR spectrometer (¹H: 399.8 MHz). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR signals corresponding to free NCP were not observed. Most of ¹H and ¹³C NMR signals due to coordinated NCP were found to be shifted to lower field compared with those of free NCP. In ¹H NMR spectrum, the signals of methyne (CH) proton in cyclohexyl group and the methylene protons (N-CH₂) in pyrrolidone ring were observed as a broad multiplet at 3.78 p.p.m. (0.14 p.p.m. high field shift compared with that of free NCP) and triplet at 3.58 and 3.50 p.p.m. (0.02 and 0.10 p.p.m. low field shift compared with those of free NCP), respectively. In the ¹³C NMR spectrum, carbonyl carbon and methylene carbon (N—CH₂) in pyrrolidone ring were observed at 180.49 p.p.m. (6.44 p.p.m. low field shift compared with that of free NCP) and 46.09 p.p.m. (3.22 p.p.m. low field shift compared with that of free NCP). These results suggest that even in CDCl3 solution two NCP molecules coordinate to Pd^{II}. It is worth noting that in spite of the soft Lewis acid all coordination sites of Pd^{II} are occupied by oxygen donor ligands. The present result should be first example for the crystal analysis of trans-Pd(NO₃)₂(L)₂ complex, in which L is the ambidentate ligand with O- and N-bonding sites.

Experimental

The crystal of *trans*-Pd(NO₃)₂(NCP)₂ was prepared by adding Pd(NO₃)₂.2H₂O (0.8218 g, 3.084 mmol, Kojima Chemicals Co., Inc., 38.85wt% in Pd) to CH₂Cl₂ solution of NCP (1.035 g, 6.186 mmol, Aldrich, 99%). The mixture was refluxed for 30 min with stirring and filtered off any undissolved Pd^{II} nitrate. The resulting solution was concentrated to approximately 5 ml, and then diethyl ether was added to form bilayer and to precipitate the complexes. Brown crystals were formed (yield 1.065 g, 59%). Elemental analyses were carried out by LECO CHNS-932 elemental analyzer. Cacl. for H₃₄C₂₀N₄O₈Pd: C, 42.52; H, 6.07; N, 9.92. Found: C, 42.25; H, 5.80; N, 9.88%.

Refinement

The H atoms of methylene and methyne were placed in calculated positions with C—H = 0.99 and 1.00, respectively. All H atoms were refined as riding on their parent atoms with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$

Figures



Fig. 1. The *ORTEP* view of *trans*-Pd(NO_3)₂(NCP)₂ complex with the atomic numbering. The thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability.



Fig. 2. The configuration of coordinated nitrate in *trans*-Pd(NO₃)₂(NCP)₂.

Fig. 3. The packing view of *trans*-Pd(NO₃)₂(NCP)₂ complex. The thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability.

trans-Bis(1-cyclohexylpyrrolidin-2-one)dinitratopalladium(II)

$[Pd(NO_3)_2(C_{10}H_{17}NO)_2]$	Z = 1
$M_r = 564.91$	$F_{000} = 292.00$
Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.571 \ {\rm Mg \ m}^{-3}$
Hall symbol: -P 1	Mo <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71075$ Å
a = 7.6431 (5) Å	Cell parameters from 5845 reflections
b = 9.8892 (8) Å	$\theta = 3.3 - 27.5^{\circ}$
c = 10.1118 (7) Å	$\mu = 0.83 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\alpha = 60.8650 \ (19)^{\circ}$	T = 173 K
$\beta = 66.057 \ (2)^{\circ}$	Platelet, brown
$\gamma = 68.845 \ (2)^{\circ}$	$0.78 \times 0.41 \times 0.07 \ mm$
$V = 597.24 (7) \text{ Å}^3$	

Data collection

Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID diffractometer	2696 independent reflections
Detector resolution: 10.00 pixels mm ⁻¹	2662 reflections with $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$
T = 173 K	$R_{\rm int} = 0.021$
ω scans	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: numerical (ABSCOR; Higashi, 1999)	$h = -9 \rightarrow 9$
$T_{\min} = 0.754, \ T_{\max} = 0.943$	$k = -12 \rightarrow 12$
5836 measured reflections	$l = -11 \rightarrow 13$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.020$ $wR(F^2) = 0.052$ S = 1.07 Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0294P)^2 + 0.1351P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$

2696 reflections

152 parameters

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct

 $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.93 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

methods

Extinction correction: none

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

F 1		1	1	• ,	•			. 1.	1 ,		181	ίx.
Fractional	atomic	coordinates	and	isotron	$1C \ or e$	eauivalent	sotron	nc dis	nlacement	narameters	1 A-)
		000.000000000		1001. op		9	1001.00		proceentern	pen enterers	(/

	x	У	Z	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$
Pd(1)	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.02155 (5)
O(1)	0.92669 (15)	0.93354 (13)	0.23446 (12)	0.0268 (2)
O(2)	1.18410 (17)	1.12278 (13)	-0.03171 (13)	0.0308 (2)
O(3)	0.98979 (19)	1.33981 (14)	-0.14453 (18)	0.0454 (3)
O(4)	1.25913 (19)	1.34980 (16)	-0.12849 (17)	0.0447 (3)
N(1)	1.14168 (19)	1.27768 (16)	-0.10479 (15)	0.0289 (2)
N(2)	0.99744 (17)	0.78446 (13)	0.46810 (13)	0.0201 (2)
C(1)	1.04947 (19)	0.84244 (15)	0.31243 (15)	0.0200 (2)
C(2)	1.2637 (2)	0.78476 (17)	0.24741 (16)	0.0246 (2)
C(3)	1.3405 (2)	0.70029 (19)	0.39282 (17)	0.0283 (3)
C(4)	1.1591 (2)	0.6721 (2)	0.53523 (17)	0.0308 (3)
C(5)	0.79357 (19)	0.80910 (15)	0.56480 (15)	0.0197 (2)
C(6)	0.7016 (2)	0.67022 (18)	0.61976 (19)	0.0284 (3)
C(7)	0.4882 (2)	0.6965 (2)	0.7192 (2)	0.0320 (3)
C(8)	0.4713 (2)	0.7307 (2)	0.85611 (18)	0.0331 (3)
C(9)	0.5632 (2)	0.8697 (2)	0.79808 (19)	0.0329 (3)
C(10)	0.7782 (2)	0.8389 (2)	0.70401 (18)	0.0288 (3)
H(1)	1.4309	0.5987	0.3943	0.034*
H(2)	1.4106	0.7671	0.3930	0.034*
H(3)	0.7185	0.9056	0.4966	0.024*
H(4)	1.1427	0.5617	0.5814	0.037*
H(5)	1.1670	0.6947	0.6176	0.037*
H(6)	0.8353	0.9314	0.6650	0.035*
H(7)	0.8527	0.7458	0.7733	0.035*
H(8)	0.5543	0.8869	0.8894	0.040*
H(9)	0.4907	0.9666	0.7304	0.040*
H(10)	0.3316	0.7544	0.9134	0.040*
H(11)	0.5371	0.6359	0.9307	0.040*
H(12)	0.4091	0.7865	0.6515	0.038*
H(13)	0.4353	0.6011	0.7612	0.038*
H(14)	1.3286	0.8742	0.1665	0.030*
H(15)	1.2847	0.7108	0.2003	0.030*
H(16)	0.7772	0.5718	0.6833	0.034*
H(17)	0.7068	0.6578	0.5268	0.034*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Pd(1)	0.02369 (9)	0.02268 (9)	0.01332 (8)	-0.00108 (5)	-0.00923 (5)	-0.00290 (6)

O(1)	0.0252 (5)	0.0317 (5)	0.0153 (4)	0.0013 (4)	-0.0097 (3)	-0.0052 (4)
O(2)	0.0337 (5)	0.0282 (5)	0.0285 (5)	-0.0043 (4)	-0.0169 (4)	-0.0047 (4)
O(3)	0.0352 (6)	0.0284 (5)	0.0613 (8)	-0.0068 (4)	-0.0266 (6)	0.0010 (5)
O(4)	0.0404 (7)	0.0437 (7)	0.0463 (7)	-0.0220 (5)	-0.0156 (5)	-0.0031 (6)
N(1)	0.0270 (6)	0.0299 (6)	0.0209 (5)	-0.0104 (4)	-0.0063 (4)	-0.0008 (4)
N(2)	0.0224 (5)	0.0203 (5)	0.0152 (5)	-0.0035 (4)	-0.0084 (4)	-0.0035 (4)
C(1)	0.0242 (6)	0.0195 (5)	0.0162 (5)	-0.0047 (4)	-0.0083 (4)	-0.0049 (4)
C(2)	0.0229 (6)	0.0275 (6)	0.0181 (6)	-0.0022 (5)	-0.0075 (5)	-0.0059 (5)
C(3)	0.0241 (7)	0.0327 (7)	0.0221 (6)	-0.0021 (5)	-0.0110 (5)	-0.0055 (5)
C(4)	0.0262 (7)	0.0367 (7)	0.0188 (6)	-0.0018 (5)	-0.0123 (5)	-0.0017 (5)
C(5)	0.0228 (6)	0.0197 (5)	0.0151 (5)	-0.0050 (4)	-0.0067 (4)	-0.0042 (4)
C(6)	0.0278 (7)	0.0286 (7)	0.0356 (8)	-0.0083 (5)	-0.0092 (5)	-0.0160 (6)
C(7)	0.0262 (7)	0.0341 (7)	0.0388 (8)	-0.0127 (5)	-0.0082 (6)	-0.0132 (6)
C(8)	0.0292 (7)	0.0403 (8)	0.0232 (7)	-0.0150 (6)	-0.0022 (5)	-0.0064 (6)
C(9)	0.0331 (8)	0.0436 (8)	0.0268 (7)	-0.0137 (6)	-0.0004 (6)	-0.0199 (7)
C(10)	0.0311 (7)	0.0397 (8)	0.0242 (7)	-0.0169 (6)	-0.0014 (5)	-0.0174 (6)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Pd(1)—O(1)	2.0092 (11)	C(9)—C(10)	1.533 (2)
$Pd(1) - O(1)^{i}$	2.0092 (11)	C(2)—H(14)	0.990
Pd(1)—O(2)	2.0112 (15)	C(2)—H(15)	0.990
$Pd(1) - O(2)^{i}$	2.0112 (15)	C(3)—H(1)	0.990
O(1)—C(1)	1.2699 (17)	C(3)—H(2)	0.990
O(2)—N(1)	1.3158 (16)	C(4)—H(4)	0.990
O(3)—N(1)	1.229 (2)	C(4)—H(5)	0.990
O(4)—N(1)	1.222 (2)	C(5)—H(3)	1.000
N(2)—C(1)	1.3200 (17)	C(6)—H(16)	0.990
N(2)—C(4)	1.4754 (19)	C(6)—H(17)	0.990
N(2)—C(5)	1.4713 (15)	C(7)—H(12)	0.990
C(1)—C(2)	1.5020 (17)	C(7)—H(13)	0.990
C(2)—C(3)	1.535 (2)	C(8)—H(10)	0.990
C(3)—C(4)	1.5304 (18)	C(8)—H(11)	0.990
C(5)—C(6)	1.525 (2)	C(9)—H(8)	0.990
C(5)—C(10)	1.525 (2)	C(9)—H(9)	0.990
C(6)—C(7)	1.5351 (19)	C(10)—H(6)	0.990
C(7)—C(8)	1.525 (3)	C(10)—H(7)	0.990
C(8)—C(9)	1.518 (3)		
$O(1) - Pd(1) - O(1)^{i}$	180.00 (7)	C(4)—C(3)—H(2)	110.7
O(1)—Pd(1)—O(2)	89.93 (5)	H(1)—C(3)—H(2)	108.8
$O(1) - Pd(1) - O(2)^{i}$	90.07 (5)	N(2)—C(4)—H(4)	111.1
$O(1)^{i}$ —Pd(1)—O(2)	90.07 (5)	N(2)—C(4)—H(5)	111.1
$O(1)^{i}$ —Pd(1)—O(2) ⁱ	89.93 (5)	C(3)—C(4)—H(4)	111.1
$O(2) - Pd(1) - O(2)^{i}$	180.00 (6)	C(3)—C(4)—H(5)	111.1
Pd(1) - O(1) - C(1)	121.33 (8)	H(4)—C(4)—H(5)	109.0
Pd(1)—O(2)—N(1)	117.47 (11)	N(2)—C(5)—H(3)	107.6
O(2)—N(1)—O(3)	118.89 (17)	C(6)—C(5)—H(3)	107.7

O(2)—N(1)—O(4)	116.54 (14)	C(10)—C(5)—H(3)	107.6
O(3)—N(1)—O(4)	124.58 (13)	C(5)—C(6)—H(16)	109.5
C(1) - N(2) - C(4)	112.87 (10)	C(5)—C(6)—H(17)	109.5
C(1) - N(2) - C(5)	123.33 (12)	C(7)—C(6)—H(16)	109.5
C(4) - N(2) - C(5)	123.11 (10)	C(7)—C(6)—H(17)	109.5
O(1)—C(1)—N(2)	121.72 (11)	H(16)—C(6)—H(17)	108.1
O(1)—C(1)—C(2)	127.06 (11)	C(6)—C(7)—H(12)	109.4
N(2) - C(1) - C(2)	111.21 (11)	C(6)—C(7)—H(13)	109.4
C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	103.45 (11)	C(8)—C(7)—H(12)	109.4
C(2)—C(3)—C(4)	105.44 (12)	C(8)—C(7)—H(13)	109.4
N(2) - C(4) - C(3)	103.45 (10)	H(12)—C(7)—H(13)	108.0
N(2)—C(5)—C(6)	110.78 (12)	C(7)—C(8)—H(10)	109.4
N(2)—C(5)—C(10)	111.59 (14)	C(7)—C(8)—H(11)	109.4
C(6)—C(5)—C(10)	111.32 (11)	C(9)—C(8)—H(10)	109.4
C(5)—C(6)—C(7)	110.86 (14)	C(9)—C(8)—H(11)	109.4
C(6)—C(7)—C(8)	111.34 (17)	H(10)—C(8)—H(11)	108.0
C(7)—C(8)—C(9)	111.23 (12)	C(8)—C(9)—H(8)	109.5
C(8)—C(9)—C(10)	110.64 (16)	C(8)—C(9)—H(9)	109.5
C(5)—C(10)—C(9)	109.88 (17)	C(10)—C(9)—H(8)	109.5
C(1)—C(2)—H(14)	111.1	C(10)—C(9)—H(9)	109.5
C(1)—C(2)—H(15)	111.1	H(8)—C(9)—H(9)	108.1
C(3)—C(2)—H(14)	111.1	C(5)—C(10)—H(6)	109.7
C(3)—C(2)—H(15)	111.1	C(5)—C(10)—H(7)	109.7
H(14)—C(2)—H(15)	109.0	C(9)—C(10)—H(6)	109.7
C(2)—C(3)—H(1)	110.7	C(9)—C(10)—H(7)	109.7
C(2)—C(3)—H(2)	110.7	H(6)—C(10)—H(7)	108.2
C(4)—C(3)—H(1)	110.7		
O(1) - Pd(1) - O(2) - N(1)	-113.91 (10)	C(5)—N(2)—C(1)—O(1)	4.9 (2)
O(2) - Pd(1) - O(1) - C(1)	-66.76 (14)	C(5)—N(2)—C(1)—C(2)	-174.43 (15)
$O(1) - Pd(1) - O(2)^{i} - N(1)^{i}$	-66.09 (10)	C(4)—N(2)—C(5)—C(6)	-74.5 (2)
$O(2)^{i}$ —Pd(1)—O(1)—C(1)	113.24 (14)	C(4)—N(2)—C(5)—C(10)	50.2 (2)
$O(1)^{i}$ —Pd(1)—O(2)—N(1)	66.09 (10)	C(5)—N(2)—C(4)—C(3)	-174.89 (17)
$O(2) - Pd(1) - O(1)^{i} - C(1)^{i}$	-113.24 (14)	O(1)—C(1)—C(2)—C(3)	172.09 (18)
$O(1)^{i}$ - Pd(1) - O(2) ⁱ - N(1) ⁱ	113.91 (10)	N(2)—C(1)—C(2)—C(3)	-8.6 (2)
$O(2)^{i}$ Pd(1) $O(1)^{i}$ $C(1)^{i}$	66.76 (14)	C(1)-C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	16.71 (19)
Pd(1) - O(1) - C(1) - N(2)	-172.54 (13)	C(2)—C(3)—C(4)—N(2)	-18.6 (2)
Pd(1) - O(1) - C(1) - C(2)	6.7 (2)	N(2)—C(5)—C(6)—C(7)	-179.40 (13)
Pd(1)—O(2)—N(1)—O(3)	2.66 (19)	N(2)—C(5)—C(10)—C(9)	178.01 (11)
Pd(1)—O(2)—N(1)—O(4)	-177.21 (12)	C(6)—C(5)—C(10)—C(9)	-57.65 (14)
C(1) - N(2) - C(4) - C(3)	14.4 (2)	C(10)—C(5)—C(6)—C(7)	55.81 (17)
C(4) - N(2) - C(1) - O(1)	175.65 (17)	C(5)—C(6)—C(7)—C(8)	-54.16 (16)
C(4) - N(2) - C(1) - C(2)	-3.7 (2)	C(6)—C(7)—C(8)—C(9)	55.18 (16)
C(1)—N(2)—C(5)—C(6)	95.31 (17)	C(7)—C(8)—C(9)—C(10)	-57.20 (18)
C(1) - N(2) - C(5) - C(10)	-140.05 (16)	C(8)—C(9)—C(10)—C(5)	58.08 (16)
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+2$, $-y+2$, $-z$.			



Fig. 1





